JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

## CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS KIMBERLY SCRETCE	HEN			<b>DEFENDANTS</b> VERDE ENERGY U		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	f First Listed Plaintiff <u>LEH</u> CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASI ddress, and Telephone Number) EL, KIMMEL & SILVERM CE, AMBLER, PA 19002 88 EXT. 116	ES)		County of Residence NOTE: Attorneys (If Known)	of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O. IN LAND CONDEMNATION C. THE TRACT OF LAND INVOL	NLY) ASES, USE THE LOCATION OF VED.
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF PR	NCIPAL PARTIES (Pla	ice an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
	■ 3 Federal Question     (U.S. Government Not a)		Ci	(For Diversity Cases Only) P tizen of This State	TF DEF  1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In T.	and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF incipal Place
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of	Parties in Item III)		_		rincipal Place 5 5 Another State 6 6
				Foreign Country		-
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.178 ( D. 1.17		di Tseri iliana ana ana ana	Table 1
110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY    310 Airplane   315 Airplane Product Liability   320 Assault, Libel & Slander   330 Federal Employers' Liability   340 Marine   345 Marine Product Liability   350 Motor Vehicle   355 Motor Vehicle   Product Liability   360 Other Personal   Injury   362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice   440 Other Civil Rights   441 Voting   442 Employment   443 Housing   445 Amer. w/Disabilities   Employment   446 Amer. w/Disabilities   Other   448 Education   448 Education   448 Education   448 Education   445 Amer. w/Disabilities   0ther   448 Education   445 Amer. w/Disabilities   0ther   448 Education   448 Education   448 Education   448 Education   445 Amer. w/Disabilities   448 Education   448 Ed	PERSONAL INJ  365 Personal Injum Product Liab  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutic. Personal Injum Product Liabi  368 Asbestos Pers Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PRO  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lenc  380 Other Person Property Dam Product Liabi  PRISONER PETH Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detaine  510 Motions to V Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty Other:  540 Mandamus & 550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condit  560 Civil Detaine Conditions of Confinement	URY  IN TO STATE OF THE STATE O	JABOR  LABOR  Table 1  Table 1	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	OTHER STATUTES  ☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antirust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act ☐ 860 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision ☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	noved from	anded from illate Court	R			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. Brief description of cause TELEPHONE CONSUM	):				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER RULE 23, I		DN	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE	de maria i Strangaro (1874) and a	www.com.	DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 07/31/2015	The state of the s	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY	OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IF	<b>)</b>	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

(Attech two copies of the Disclosure Statement all conjugates party with any parent except rate and any publicly held corporation avoiding 10% or more of its stock?  (Attech two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))  Does this case trivolve mutidistric litigation possibilities?  (Attech two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(b))  Page No.   RELATED CASE. If ALM?  Date Terminated:  [Civ.] cases are desented related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:  [I. is fair case related to properly included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year proviously terminated action in this court?  Yes   No.   Yes   No.   Yes   No.   Yes   No.   Attempts Attempts No.   Attempts Attempts No.   Attempts Attempts No.   Attempts Attempts No.   Attempts No.   Attempts Attempts No.   Attempts No.   Attempts Attempts No.   No.   Attempts Attempts No.   No.   Attempts Attempts No.   No.   Attempts Attempts No.   No.   Attempts No.   Attempts No.   No.   Attempts No.   Attempts No.   Attempts No.   No.   Attempts No.   Attempts No.   No.   No.   Attempts No.   No.   Attempts No.   No.   Attempts No.   No.   No.   Attempts No.   No.   Attempts No.   No.   Attempts No.   No.   No.   No.   Attempts No.   No.   No.   No.   No.   No.   Attempts No.   N	assignment to appropriate calendar.	
These of Accident to Tenanstrion   (the Reverse Bide For Additional Spacer)	Address of Plaintiff: 216 9th Avenue, Bethlehem, PA 18	8018
(Attech two copies of the Disclosure Statement all conjugates party with any parent except rate and any publicly held corporation avoiding 10% or more of its stock?  (Attech two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))  Does this case trivolve mutidistric litigation possibilities?  (Attech two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(b))  Page No.   RELATED CASE. If ALM?  Date Terminated:  [Civ.] cases are desented related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:  [I. is fair case related to properly included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year proviously terminated action in this court?  Yes   No.   Yes   No.   Yes   No.   Yes   No.   Attempts Attempts No.   Attempts Attempts No.   Attempts Attempts No.   Attempts Attempts No.   Attempts No.   Attempts Attempts No.   Attempts No.   Attempts Attempts No.   No.   Attempts Attempts No.   No.   Attempts Attempts No.   No.   Attempts Attempts No.   No.   Attempts No.   Attempts No.   No.   Attempts No.   Attempts No.   Attempts No.   No.   Attempts No.   Attempts No.   No.   No.   Attempts No.   No.   Attempts No.   No.   Attempts No.   No.   No.   Attempts No.   No.   Attempts No.   No.   Attempts No.   No.   No.   No.   Attempts No.   No.   No.   No.   No.   No.   Attempts No.   N	Address of Defendant: 101 Merritt 7, 2nd Floor, Norws	alk, CT 06851
Does this avid setion laware a notigoreumental cooperate party with any parent composition and any publicly held cooperation owning 10% or more of its stock?  (Attach two copies of the Discionure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Cr.P. 7.1(a))  Posset that are involve multidistrial: litigation possibilities?  Year Note    Note   Note   Note   Note   Note	Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction;	10
CARLECT HOSE Disablance Streament Form in accordance with Fock R. Civ. P. 7.1(a))  Does this case involve multidistrics litigation possibilities?  Yest No. S.  Date Terminated:  Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answared to any of the following quotions:  1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this cours?  Yest No. S.  Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior auit gending or within one year previously terminated action in this cours?  Yest No. S.  Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior auit gending or within one year previously terminated action in this cours?  Yest No. S.  Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a potent already in suit or any earlier numbered one pending or within one year previously terminated action in this cours?  Yest No. S.  Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a potent already in suit or any earlier numbered one pending or within one year previously terminated action in this cours?  Yest No. S.  Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a potent already in suit or any earlier numbered one pending or within one year previously terminated action in this cours?  Yest No. S.  S. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a potent already in suit or any earlier numbered one pending or within one year previously terminated action in this cours?  Yest No. S.  S. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a potent already in suit or any earlier numbered one pending or within one year previously terminated action in this cours?  Yest No. S.  S. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a potent already in suit or any earlier numbered one pending or within one year previously terminated action in this cours.  S. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a potent already in suit or any earlier numb	·	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation pessibilities?    Page		77
ERLATED CASE, IP ANY:    Todge	(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1	l(a)) YesLJ NoEF
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to say of the following questions:  1. Is this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior sult pending or within one year previously translated action in this count?  Yes □ No Z  2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior sult pending or within one year previously translated action in this count?  Yes □ No Z  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent circacy in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously translated action in this count?  Yes □ No Z  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent circacy in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously translated action in this count.  Yes □ No Z  4. Is this case a second or successive habous compus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  Yes □ No Z  4. Is this case a second or successive habous compus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  Yes □ No Z  CIVIL: (Place ✔ In One CATEGORY ONLY)  A. Federal Question Cases:  B. Diversity Invisidation Cases:  1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  2. □ FELA  2. □ Airplane Personal Injury  3. □ Assault, Defarmation  4. □ Marine Personal Injury  4. □ Autiturest  4. □ Marine Personal Injury  5. □ Motor Vehic's Personal Injury  6. □ Labor-Management Relations  6. □ Other Personal Injury  9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases  9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases  (Please specify) 47 U.S.C. § 227 et Seq.  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (Cacet Appropriate Category)  9. □ Call Circle Relations  Altorney-st-Law  NOTE: A circle does not costs;  1. □ Altorney-st-Law  NOTE: A circle does not contend to costs;  1. □ Altorney-st-Law  NOTE: A circle contend to costs;  1. □ Altorney-st-Law  NOTE: A circle contend to costs;  1. □ Carl	Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No.\(\frac{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}}{\frac{1}{2}}\)
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:  1. Is alls case related to proporty included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  Yest	RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year proviously terminated action in this count?  2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a putent already in suit or any carifor numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  Yeal NoZ  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a putent already in suit or any carifor numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  Yeal NoZ  CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)  A. Federal Question Cases:  B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:  1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts  2. □ FELA  3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury  3. □ Assault, Defamation  4. □ Antitrust  4. □ Marine Personal Injury  5. □ Patent  5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  6. □ Colver Personal Injury  9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases  9. □ Civil Rights  7. □ Products Liability  9. □ Products Liability  9. □ Products Liability  10. □ Social Security Review Cases  (Please specify)  11. □ All other Personal Injury  12. □ Patent Cases  (Please specify)  13. □ Antitrust  14. □ All other Personal Injury  15. □ Patent  16. □ Cabor-Management Relations  17. □ Civil Rights  18. □ Products Liability  19. □ Products Liability  19. □ Products Liability  10. □ Social Security Review Cases  (Please specify)  10. □ Patent to Leak Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(o)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil scion case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and coats;  10. □ Patent to Leak Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(o)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil scion case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and coats;  19. □ Patent to Leak Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(o)(2), that to the best of m	Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior sult pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?    Yeal	Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  Yes□ No  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any carlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this count?  Yes□ No  A South Statis case a second or successive habeas curpua, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  Yes□ No  CIVIL. (Place ✓ In ONE CATEGORY ONLY)  A. Federal Question Cases:  1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  2. □ FELA  3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury  3. □ Assault, Defamation  4. □ Antitrust  4. □ Antitrust  5. □ Patent  5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  5. □ Patent  6. □ Labor-Management Relations  7. □ Civil Rights  7. □ Products Liability  8. □ Products Liability  8. □ Products Liability  9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases  10. □ Social Security Review Cases  11. ⑤ All other Pederal Question Cases  (Please specify)  12. □ Parsent to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(o(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs;  □ Relef other than moretary damages is sought.  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  Lecrify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.	1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within or	ne year previously terminated action in this court?
action in this court?  Yes □ Note  3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any cartier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  Yes □ Note  Attorney to a trial de nove will be a trial by jary only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  1. CRAIC THOR KIMMEL  ONCE A trial de nove will be a trial by jary only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  1. CRAIC That, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.		
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any cartier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  Yes   No   March   March   No   March   March   No   March   March   No   March   March		ior suit pending or within one year previously terminated
terminated action in this court?  4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  Yes   No    No	action in this court;	Yes□ NoX
A. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?  Yes No.  CIVIL: (Place I in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)  A. Federal Question Cases:  1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  2. □ FBLA  3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury  3. □ Assault, Defamation  4. □ Antitrust  4. □ Marine Personal Injury  5. □ Patent  6. □ Caber-Management Relations  6. □ Caber-Management Relations  7. □ Civil Rights  8. □ Habeas Corpus  9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases  10. □ Social Security Review Cases  (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (Cheek Apprepriate Category)  □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: Q7-31-15  Actioney-at-Law  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with ER.C.P. 38.  Lertify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.	3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earl	lier numbered case pending or within one year previously
CIVIL. (Place  in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)  A. Federal Question Cases:  1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  2. □ FELA  3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury  3. □ Assault, Defaunation  4. □ Antitrust  5. □ Patent  6. □ Labor-Management Relations  7. □ Civil Rights  8. □ Haboas Corpus  9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases  10. □ Social Security Review Cases  11. ᠔ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 47 U.S.C. § 227 et Seq.  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (Check Appropriate Category)  1. ○ CRAIG THOR KIMMEL  □ counsel of record do hereby certify: □ Parsonal to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 07-31-15  Altorney-al-Law  NOTE: A trial dc novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  1 certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.	terminated action in this court?	$_{ m Yes}\Box$ $_{ m No}f X$
CIVIL. (Place  in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)  A. Federal Question Cases:  1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  2. □ FELA  3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury  3. □ Assault, Defaunation  4. □ Antitrust  5. □ Patent  6. □ Labor-Management Relations  7. □ Civil Rights  8. □ Haboas Corpus  9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases  10. □ Social Security Review Cases  11. ᠔ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 47 U.S.C. § 227 et Seq.  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (Check Appropriate Category)  1. ○ CRAIG THOR KIMMEL  □ counsel of record do hereby certify: □ Parsonal to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 07-31-15  Altorney-al-Law  NOTE: A trial dc novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  1 certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.		of all the second field has the second in district to all the
Cariffy that, to my knowledge, the witthin case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.	4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro sectivil i	and the second s
A. Federal Question Cases:  1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts  2. □ FELA  3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury  4. □ Antitrust  5. □ Patent  6. □ Labor-Management Relations  7. □ Civil Rights  8. □ Habeas Corpus  9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases  10. □ Social Security Review Cases  11. ★ All other Federal Question Cases  (Please specify)  4. □ AUSTRALION CERTIFICATION  (Check Appropriate Category)  11. ★ All other Federal Question 3(s)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil sotion case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 0.7-31-15  Attorney-at-Law  NOTE: A trial de now will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  Lertify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.		Yes I No III
1. □ Indomnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. □ FELA 3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. □ Assault, Defamation 4. □ Antitrust 4. □ Marine Personal Injury 5. □ Patent 5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 7. □ Civil Rights 7. □ Products Liability 8. □ Habeas Corpus 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases 11. ፩ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 12. ፩ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 13. ⑥ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 14. ⑦ U.S.C. § 227 et seq.  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) 15. □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(e)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; 15. □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  BATE: 07-31-15  Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.	CIVIL: (Place 🗸 in one category only)	
2.	A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases;
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. □ Antitrust 4. □ Marine Personal Injury 5. □ Patent 5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. □ Civil Rights 7. □ Products Liability 8. □ Habeas Corpus 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases 11. ☒ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 11. ☒ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 12. ☐ CRAIG THOR KIMMEL (Check Appropriate Category) 13. ☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and coets; 13. ☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 97-31-15  Attorney-at-Law Attorney ID.#  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.	1. D Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1, D Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
4. □ Marine Personal Injury  5. □ Patent  5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  6. □ Labor-Management Relations  6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)  7. □ Civil Rights  7. □ Products Liability  8. □ Habeas Corpus  9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases  9. □ All other Diversity Cases  10. □ Social Security Review Cases  10. □ Social Security Review Cases  (Please specify) 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (Check Appropriate Category)  1. CRAIG THOR KIMMEL course of interest and costs;  □ Pursuent to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(e)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 07-31-15  Attorney-at-Law  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.	2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
4. □ Marine Personal Injury  5. □ Patent  5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury  6. □ Labor-Management Relations  6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)  7. □ Civil Rights  7. □ Products Liability  8. □ Habeas Corpus  9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases  9. □ All other Diversity Cases  10. □ Social Security Review Cases  10. □ Social Security Review Cases  (Please specify) 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (Check Appropriate Category)  1. CRAIG THOR KIMMEL course of interest and costs;  □ Pursuent to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(e)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 07-31-15  Attorney-at-Law  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.	3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
5. □ Patent 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 6. □ Other Personal Injury 6. □ Other Personal Injury 7. □ Civil Rights 7. □ Products Liability 8. □ Habeas Corpus 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases 11. ※ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) 1. CRAIG THOR KIMMEL □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 07-31-15  Attorney-at-Law Attorney-at-Law Attorney ID.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.		
6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. □ Civil Rights 7. □ Products Liability 8. □ Habeas Corpus 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases 11. ★ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category)  I. CRAIG THOR KIMMEL counsel of record do hereby certify: □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(e)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 07-31-15  Altorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.		
7. □ Civil Rights 8. □ Habeas Corpus 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ Social Security Review Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases 11. ᠖ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) 1. CRAIG THOR KIMMEL countered of record do hereby certify: □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 07-31-15  Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  Lecrtify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.		
8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos  9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases  10. □ Social Security Review Cases  11. ☑ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category)  1. CRAIG THOR KIMMEL (Check Appropriate Category)  1. □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 07-31-15  Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.	-	
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify)  All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category)  Counsel of record do hereby certify: □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(e)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 07-31-15  Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.		·
10. □ Social Security Review Cases  (Please specify) 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.  ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) (Check Appropriate Category)  □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 07-31-15  Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.	•	
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (Check Appropriate Category)  I, CRAIG THOR KIMMEL  Check Appropriate Category)  I, CRAIG THOR KIMMEL  Check Appropriate Category)  Check Appropriate Category)  Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 07-31-15  Attorney-at-Law  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.	• •	·
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION  (Check Appropriate Category)  I, CRAIG THOR KIMMEL , counsel of record do hereby certify:  Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 07-31-15  Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.		(Please specify)
I, CRAIG THOR KIMMEL (Check Appropriate Category)    CRAIG THOR KIMMEL (Check Appropriate Category)   Counsel of record do hereby certify:   Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;   Relief other than monetary damages is sought.    DATE: 07-31-15	(Please specify) 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.	
I, CRAIG THOR KIMMEL (Check Appropriate Category)    CRAIG THOR KIMMEL (Check Appropriate Category)   Counsel of record do hereby certify:   Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;   Relief other than monetary damages is sought.    DATE: 07-31-15	ARRITRATION CE	RTIFICATION
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 07-31-15  Attorney-at-Law  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.	(Check Appropria	te Category)
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 07-31-15  Attorney-at-Law  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.		
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.  DATE: 07-31-15  Attorney-at-Law  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.	and the state of t	and benef, the damages recoverable in this civit action case exceed the sum of
Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.	and the second s	
Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.		FF1.00
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.  I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.		
except as noted above.	•	•
except as noted above.		
	and the second s	g or within one year previously terminated action in this court
/ / /	except as noted above.	
DATE: <u>07-31-15</u>		
Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.# CIV. 609 (5/2012)	and the second s	Attorney I.D.#

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

	•	CIVIL ACTION
KIMBERLY SCRETCHEN	;	
V.	;	
VERDE ENERGY USA, INC.	•	NO
	•	1,10,

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

## SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
215-540-8888 x 116	877-788-2864	kimmel@creditlaw	.com
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	•
07-31-15		Plaintiff, Kimberl	y Scretchen
(f) Standard Management –	- Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	( )
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into track complex and that need special side of this form for a detailed	l or intense management by	( )
(d) Asbestos – Cases involv exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal injury of	or property damage from	( )
c) Arbitration – Cases requ	ired to be designated for arbitr	ation under Local Civil Rule 53	.2. (X)
b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services der	requesting review of a decision nying plaintiff Social Security I	n of the Secretary of Health Benefits.	( )
a) Habeas Corpus – Cases l	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 224	1 through § 2255.	( )

(Civ. 660) 10/02

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KIMBERLY SCRETCHEN,	)
Plaintiff	)
v.	Case No.:
VERDE ENERGY USA, INC.,	) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR ) JURY TRIAL
Defendant	

#### **COMPLAINT**

KIMBERLY SCRETCHEN ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against VERDE ENERGY USA, INC. ("Defendant"):

#### INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. See Mims v. Arrow Fin. Services, LLC, 132 S. Ct. 740, 747, 181 L. Ed. 2d 881 (2012).
- 3. Defendant conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and as such, personal jurisdiction is established.

4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18018.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 7. Defendant is a corporation that has its office located in Norwalk, Connecticut 06851.
- 8. Defendant is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. §153(39).
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Plaintiff has a cellular telephone number that she has had for more than one year.
  - 11. Plaintiff has only used this number as a cellular telephone number.
- 12. The phone number has been assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls.
- 13. Plaintiff never provided permission to Defendant to call her cellular telephone number or to contact her regarding any goods or services offered by Defendant.

- 14. Beginning in early June 2015, Defendant called Plaintiff on her cellular telephone.
- 15. When contacting Plaintiff on her cellular telephone, Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system and automatic and/or pre-recorded messages.
  - 16. Defendant's messages would state its name.
  - 17. Defendant's telephone calls were not made for "emergency purposes."
- 18. Defendant placed telephone to Plaintiff's cellular telephone, on average, one (1) time per day.
- 19. Most recently, Defendant called Plaintiff on her cellular telephone number on June 22, 2015.

# DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 20. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 21. Defendant initiated multiple automated telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone using a prerecorded voice.
- 22. Defendant initiated these automated calls to Plaintiff using an automatic telephone dialing system.
  - 23. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for emergency purposes.

- 24. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff, in and after June 2015, were not made with Plaintiff's prior express consent.
- 25. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the purpose of harassing Plaintiff.
- 26. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully, intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal defense, legal justification or legal excuse.
- 27. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles damages.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, KIMBERLY SCRETCHEN, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A);
- b. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
- c. Treble damages of \$1,500.00 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3);

1	d. Injunctive relief pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3);
2	e. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.
3	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
4	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, KIMBERLY SCRETCHEN,
5	demands a jury trial in this case.
6	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
8	ACSIDETI CELT SCHWITTED,
9	DATED: July 31, 2015 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.
10	By:
11	CRAIG THOR KIMMEL
12	Attorney ID # 57100 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
13	30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002
14	Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864
15	Email: <u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u>
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	5